Orchestral Manoeuvres in the Light

Formants and their Movements in a Dynamic Fomant Map

Christoph Reuter¹, Saleh Siddiq¹, Isabella Czedik-Eysenberg^{1,3}, Daniel Muzzulini², Michael Oehler³

¹ Musicological Department, University of Vienna
² Zürcher Hochschule der Künste, Zurich
³ Musicological Department, University of Osnabruck

See Timbre Spaces and Formant Maps at https://muwiserver.synology.me/dynamic/timbremaps_e.htm

Popular Methods of Timbre Description

In the last 50 years, three types of **timbre description** in particular have become established:

Timbre Spaces

First known **timbre space** in which the **similarities of timbres** are plotted along three spatial dimensions: (since Grey 1975)

- I: Spectral Energy Distribution
- II: Attack transients and the synchronicity of higher partials there
- III: Fluctuations and inharmonicity





Formant Maps

2003: Vowel Space: Arrangement of the timbres of common orchestral instruments on a (per instrument differing) pitch in a vocal formant map by John McCarty (calculated via Colea).

"Now I can say that the tuba's timbre has a 'U' sound or (oo) as in the word who, or the trumpet has an 'ae' timbre like the work actor."

(McCarty, Stanford.edu 2003)







UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK

4000

3000 -

2000 -

1000 -

Tuba

(Hz)

5

21st Quinquennial IMS Congress, Athens 22.-26. August 2022

Cello

However, a comparison of the three most popular timbre spaces in one **Meta Timbre Space** showed that timbres of the **same stimuli set** were **more similar** to each other than timbres of the same instrument:

Timbre Spaces are only conditionally suitable for describing timbre, since they can **neither be compared with each other nor generalized**. (Siddiq et al. 2018).

Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC)

Initially a computational method for automatic speaker recognition or speech similarity estimation (since Davis & Mermelstein 1980)

- Pro: well suited for calculating similarities in speech/music/instrumental timbres
- Cons: not very intuitive, numerical output is difficult to interpret.

MFCCs are **standard features** when it comes to calculating timbral similarity.

Formants

Pitch-independent stable maxima in the spectrum of instrument timbres, which - like vocal formants - have a **characteristically timbredefining** effect.



Automatische Klangfarbentrennung im MFCC-Space (Loughran et al. 2008, p. 3)



Sounds of saxophone, flute, oboe, clarinet, bassoon, horn, 500 trumpet, tuba, violin, cello, bass in the "Vowel Space". (McCarty, Stanford.edu 2003)

2016: Interactive Formant Map for the common woodwind and brass instruments from the VSL library in **all attainable pitches** and **two dynamic levels** (ff and pp) by Reuter et al. (593 single sounds).

Mean values (circle center) and standard deviation (diameter of ellipses) can be displayed per instrument and register.

Overlapping areas sound **similar**, while **widely separated** areas sound **dissimilar**.

2020: Update of the Formant Map:

- Switch from Flash to Javascript
- Expansion of instruments to include violin, viola, cello and bass
- Integration of an additional orchestra library (Spitfire Audio)
- 1100 individual sounds in total



(since Schumann 1929)

In the German-speaking world, formants are often used to describe the **timbre of musical instruments** based on their **vowel character**.

Similar **formant ranges** lead to similar **timbre sensations**. Instruments with similar formant ranges blend better sonically than instruments with different formant ranges.



 6 Frequenzlage der Formanten für die Doppelrohrblattinstrumente, zusammengestellt nach Angaben von E. Meyer und G. Buchmann [3] (Oboen und Englisch Horn) und eigenen Messungen des Verf. (Fagotte [11] und Heckelphon)

Vocal formants and their frequency ranges (top) Formants of double-reed instruments (bottom)(Meyer 2015, p. 33 and 63)

Formant Analysis in Common Signal Analysis Libraries?

Why are there almost no formant analysis functions in libraries for the signal analysis of musical sounds?

Algorithms for formants are completely missing in the common libraries for musical signal analysis (e.g. in MIRToolbox (Lartillot, Toivianen 2007), Timbre Toolbox (Peeters et al. 2011), Essentia (Bogdanov et al. 2013), Yaafe (2013), Meyda (Rawlinson 2014), LibROSA (McFee et al. 2015), JS-Xtract (Jillings 2016), Aubio (2017), MiningSuite (Lartillot 2019) etc.)

Possible reasons:

- The research of Stumpf, Schumann etc. has not been translated into English, the ideas of these authors were not present in English-language literature.
- Formants were discovered "too early", long before there was any idea of predictable timbre features at all.
- Formant estimation via LPC is strongly dependent on the algorithms default

Formants, their mean values and standard deviations of oboe, bassoon, horn, tuba, cello and bass in low and middle registers

Dynamic Formant Map

Via Parselmouth, the formants of the individual musical instruments in the **1st movement of Beethoven's 7th Symphony** were tracked. (Recordings of the single tracks from Pätynen et al. 2008).

Via Plotly and P5, the collected values were synchronized with the music and transferred to an **interactive dynamic formant map**, so that the behavior of instrumental formants in "the wild" in specific " territories" or areas can be visualized.

Here, the arrangement of the instruments in typical areas, already known from previous formant maps, becomes apparent.

Similar results can be obtained with MFCCs. For comparison, a visualization in two dimensions of the Timbre Space was also created.



F2

Mean values and standard deviations of the instruments involved in the orchestral sound. Here in interaction: Horns (purple), bassoons (orange) and oboe (green)



settings.

• Formants tend to be attributed to phonetics ("vowels"), not to musical acoustics.

Current algorithms for formant calculation/estimation could easily be taken from already existing phonetics libraries (a.g. Colea (Loizou 1998/9), Praat (Boersma, Weenink, ab 2001), Parselmouth (Jadoul et al. 2018), Formant Tracker (Kamath 2021), Formant Estimation (Rabiner et al. 2021))



Summary

- Although both MFCCs and formants for describing timbral properties have been adopted from phonetics into musical acoustics, so far only MFCCs exist as timbre descriptors in common signal analysis libraries for music or timbre.
- The formant extraction algorithms currently found in Python and Matlab scripts could easily be adopted for sound/music feature extraction in the corresponding signal analysis libraries as well.
- At least since the 1970s, there have been approaches to map musical instruments to the vowel trapezium of phonetics or the formant map based on it
- With a sufficiently **high number of individual sounds** in all attainable pitches and different dynamic levels, **clear ranges per register** become visible, in which the instrumental timbres are located in the **formant map**.
- The tracked formants of the interacting instruments in the formant map visualize comprehensibly the formant behavior during pitch and dynamic changes as well as timbre similarities.